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#### Abstract

A new medusa is described and illustrated. It is compared to related species in the genus, Pandea conica (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1827) and Pandea rubra Bigelow, 1913. It differs from those species in the proportions of the umbrella and its conical process, number of ribs on the umbrella, number of marginal tentacles, ocelli on the basal bulb of tentacles, and the large size of the stomach. The diagnostic characteristics of these species and Pandea cybeles are compiled in a table. Information is included on the distribution of the species throughout the world.


The genus Pandea Lesson, 1843, includes two valid species, Pandea conica (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1827) and Pandea rubra (Bigelow, 1913. Mayer (1910) recognized five species of Pandeidae: Pandea conica (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1827), P. saltoria (Sars, 1835), $P$. minima von Lendenfeld, 1884, P. violacea Agassiz \& Mayer, 1899, and P. maasi Maas, 1904.

According to Kramp (1965) P. maasi is a synonym of Euphysa flammea (Linko, 1905); P. minima and $P$. violacea are juvenile stages of indeterminable Tiaridae, placing also $P$. violacea under Merga violacea (Agassiz \& Mayer, 1899); and P. saltoria is a species of Aglantha.

During the Sargasso Sea Biowat cruise on the R/V Knorr in Apr 1975, plankton collections were obtained, and some specimens of Medusae, Siphonophora, and Ctenophora were kindly sent to me by Michael Latz (Department of Biological Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara) for identification. The medusae included three specimens of a new species of Pandea, described below.

Pandea cybeles, new species
Figs. 1, 2
Material. - NE Sargasso Sea, Biowat Cruise of R/V Knorr, Apr 1975, from tows
with Tucker $1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ net with $333 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh: Sta $240,34^{\circ} 53.22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 06.01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, ca. 100 m depth, 20 Apr 1975, holotype, USNM 77473.-Sta 231, $33^{\circ} 55.74^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 59.11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, ca. 1 m depth, 19 Apr 1975, paratype, USNM 77474. A third specimen from Sta $230,33^{\circ} 55.74^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 59.11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, ca. 1 m depth, 19 Apr 1975, was left at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Description. - Umbrella bell-shaped, slightly higher than wide (heights 18,20 and 25 mm , widths $14.5,16.3$ and 20 mm respectively), with conical apical process about 5 mm long (measurements included in total height) in largest medusa (Fig. 1). Exumbrella with ridges reaching from tip of apical process to each marginal tentacle, alternating with grooves running from tip of apical process to spaces between tentacles at edge of umbrella. Crest of each ridge with thin whitish band, probably formed by rows of nematocysts. The four wide radial canals with rough margins. Circular or ring canal simple, about half width of radial canals, with smooth margins (Fig. 1). Centripetal canals lacking. Velum narrow.

Marginal tentacles about 40, 10 at each space between perradii, long, of same size, hollow. Base of tentacle with thick conical laterally compressed bulb or spur clasping margin of umbrella. Abaxial spur of each tentacle having 1 red ocellus.


Fig. 1. Pandea cybeles, habitus.

Stomach large, completely filling subumbrellar cavity, attached for about $1 / 3$ of its length to subumbrella perradii.
Mouth with 4 perradial lips deeply and complexly folded, with crenulated edges, reaching border of umbrella.

Gonads extending over interradial and perradial zones, completely covering stomach, forming irregular network of sinuous ridges and pits, latter corresponding to internal ovular formations (Fig. 2B).
Color of stomach, mouth, gonads, and border of umbrella pinkish, with light purple and violet tones. Thick jelly umbrella and conical apical process, crystal clear with violet tones, revealing darker tones of stomach and gonads within.

Etymology. - Named after Cybele, goddess of nature.

Remarks. -Differential morphological characteristics of Pandea cybeles, P. conica, and $P$. rubra are given in Table 1.


Fig. 2. Pandea cybeles: A, Detail of bulbs in marginal tentacles; B, Detail of internal ovular formations in gonads.

Pandea cybeles differs from P. conica in the proportion of length to width of the umbrella, the number of ribs, the number of marginal tentacles, and the size of the stomach.
Pandea cybeles differs from P. rubra in having a conical apical process of the umbrella, and ribs and nematocyst tracks on the umbrella, all of which structures are absent in $P$. rubra. The circular canal is broader in $P$. rubra than in $P$. cybeles. The number of marginal tentacles is different in the two species, and $P$. rubra does not have ocelli on the basal bulb of the tentacles.
The stomach of $P$. rubra reaches to half the length of the subumbrellar cavity; in $P$. conica it occupies about $1 / 3$ or $1 / 2$ of the length of the subumbrellar cavity, whereas in $P$. cybeles it fills completely the subumbrellar cavity, reaching the margins of the umbrella.
Differences between Pandea rubra and Pandea cybeles are obvious and do not require further discussion. However, Pandea

Table 1.-Principal differential characteristics of the species of Pandea and the new species.

| Characteristics | Pandea conica (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1827) | Pandea rubra Bigelow, 1913 | Pandea cybeles, n . sp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umbrella | Bell barrel-shaped, about twice as high as wide, jelly fairly thick, with apical process | Bell-shaped, as high or slightly higher than wide, with rounded summit, without apical process. Thin soft walls | Bell-shaped, slightly higher than wide, of thick jelly, with thick apical conical process |
| Umbrella size | $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ height, $10-15$ mm wide | $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ height up to 75 mm | $18-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ height and $14.5-20$ mm wide |
| Velum | Narrow | Narrow | Narrow |
| Apical conical process | Conical at summit, with apical ectodermal thickening | Not present | Present, long conical ectodermal thickening at top of umbrella |
| Ribs and ridges | 16 or in the 20 's. Exumbrellar nematocyst ribs | No ribs or exumbrellar nematocyst tracks | Present 40 exumbrellar ribs with nematocyst band at crest edge, extending from tip of conical process to edge of umbrella |
| Radial canals | 4 broad, smooth or jagged | 4 broad with wavy or jagged outlines | 4 broad with jagged outline |
| Circular canal | Narrower than radial canals, smooth outlines | Broad, with smooth outlines | Narrower than radial canals, about half width, smooth outlines |
| Marginal tentacles | 16 or in the 20 's, smooth, hollow, with conical laterally compressed basal bulb, without well developed abaxial spur, with one abaxial ocellus. No rudimentary marginal tentacles | 18-24 of various sizes, hollow, smooth, with large conical basal bulb, not laterally compressed, with distinct abaxial spur clasping margin of umbrella. No ocelli. No rudimentary marginal tentacles | About 40, 10 from perradial to perradial, hollow, long, with conical laterally compressed basal bulb, with abaxial spur and ocellus. No rudimentary marginal tentacles |
| Stomach | Large, pyramidal, almost filling upper $1 / 3$ of subumbrellar cavity, attached about $4 / 5$ of length to subumbrellar perradii | Large, with broad base, about half-height of subumbrellar cavity, attached to subumbrellar perradii for about $4 / 5$ of its length | Large, filling completely subumbrellar cavity, attached $1 / 3$ of its length to subumbrellar perradii |
| Mouth | 4 perradial lips with folded crenulate edges | 4 lips with folded crenulate margins | 4 perradial lips with complexly folded crenulate edges reaching border of umbrella |
| Gonads | On entire interradial walls of stomach, forming coarse meshwork of ridges and pits, surrounding the stomach | Very fine meshwork of pits, interradially on stomach, close-meshed irregular network of ridges with pits between them | Extended over interradial and perradial zones covering completely stomach, forming network of ridges and oval pits |

Table 1.-Continued.

| Characteristics | Pandea conica <br> (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1827) | Pandea rubra Bigelow, 1913 | Pandea cybeles, n. sp |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Color | Stomach and gonads red- <br> dish, brownish or yel- <br> lowish. Mouth lips <br> reddish or pink, tenta- <br> cles milky yellow, ocel- <br> li red or reddish, <br> brown. Subumbrella <br> colorless | Subumbrella, stomach, <br> mouth, gonads and <br> marginal tentacles <br> deep brownish red or <br> chocolate | Stomach, mouth, gonads and <br> border of umbrella pink with <br> light purple and violet tones |
| Atlantic, Mediterranean | Bermuda, NW Pacific, <br> Bering Sea, British Co- | Sargasso Sea |  |
| Distribution |  | lumbia. Probably in- <br> habiting deep waters |  |

conica and Pandea cybeles are more closely related, as both have a long conical process at the top of the umbrella. Therefore, a discussion on the descriptions of Pandea conica published by various authors will enlighten the separation of the species.

There is some disagreement among the various authors on the number of marginal tentacles, as well as in the proportion of height and width of the umbrella. It appears in some instances that authors were including under Pandea conica specimens belonging to different species.

However, all authors basically agree, when defining Pandea conica, that the height of the umbrella is about twice its width, the manubrium extends only along the upper $1 / 3$ or half of the subumbrellar cavity, and the number of ribs on exumbrella and marginal tentacles is in the 20 's, about 24.

A chronological review of descriptions by various authors follows.

Quoy \& Gaimard (1827) first described the medusa as Dianaea conica, collected near the Strait of Gibraltar, as body elongated conically pointed at the top, "tentacles small, filamentous, in the 20 's, with reddish spot at their base. The umbrella presented as many striae as tentacles. Manubrium with 4 small short arms, pink in color, the rest of the medusa transparent." Their illustra-
tion of the medusa shows size proportions of umbrella, stomach and tentacles.

Maas (1904) found abundant specimens of Pandea conica at Monaco Bay, and those had 8,16 , or 20 tentacles.

Mayer (1910) described Pandea conica as "barrel-shape sides bluntly pointed, 21 mm high and 10 mm wide, with 8 to 24 well developed longitudinal rib-like ridges along exumbrella and equal number of marginal tentacles with abaxial ectodermal ocelli. Stomach wide and short with 4 folded lips having sinuous margins." The colors of gonads, tentacles, and ocelli were brownish red, yellowish-milky and dark purple, respectively. Mayer's illustration (1910:117) shows short additional ridges extending up to $1 / 3$ from the border of the umbrella, and the conical top is missing. Either this is an illustration of a newly-born medusa or it is not $P$. conica.

Vanhöffen (1911) indicated $P$. conica 12.5 mm high and 10 mm wide with conical process of $2 \mathrm{~mm}, 4$ radial canals and ring canal. The largest specimens had 19 or 20 tentacles. Other characteristics agreed with descriptions by other authors.

Hartlaub (1913) also indicated the umbrella extending into a conical process at the top, being 21 mm high and 10 mm wide, with 24 marginal tentacles, and the stomach
extending along the upper $1 / 3$ of the subumbrellar cavity. Gonads and color agree with previous descriptions. Figure 286 on page 339 of Hartlaub 1913 illustrates his description.

Browne (1916) referred to Pandea juvenile specimens obtained at the Chagos Archipelago, which could be related to Pandea conica. The smallest was about 2.5 mm in diameter.

Uchida (1927) discussed the characteristics of Pandea conica and P. rubra. He identified and described specimens obtained at Misaki, Japan, as Pandea conica, with "bell somewhat prismatic, with truncated top, 30 mm high and 17 mm wide; exumbrella with 44 longitudinal ridges. 4 Radial canals, wide, jagged, widest in the lower half. Ring canal narrower. Tentacles 44, all of similar length, hollow. Manubrium wide and short, nearly filling the upper half of bell cavity, fused with the upper part of subumbrella." The rest of the descriptive part agrees with other authors, in gonads, color, etc. He explained, "Ocellus could not be found in preserved specimens."

The truncate top of the umbrella of Uchida's specimens indicates that those specimens apparently do not belong to Pandea conica (see fig. 38, page 214 of Uchida 1927).

Ranson (1936) discussed the characteristics of species of Pandea, mainly referring to $P$. conica and $P$. rubra. The collections Ranson analyzed included abundant specimens or various sizes, enabling him to determine series of development of the medusa. A table on page 83 compiles the characteristics of $P$. conica as described by various authors. Analysis of data in that table suggests that under $P$. conica were included some specimens belonging to other species.

Russell (1953) described $P$. conica with higher than wide umbrella, conical summit, 16 to 24 longitudinal exumbrellar nematocyst ribs and corresponding number of marginal tentacles; jelly fairly thick. Velum
narrow. Stomach pyramidal filling upper half of subumbrellar cavity, etc.

Kramp (1961) described P. conica "up to 21 mm high, 10 mm wide, with a conical apex terminating with a peculiar patch of thickened ectoderm; exumbrella with longitudinal ribs and ridges. Manubrium about half as long as bell cavity, with short mouth tube and folded lips. Radial canals fairly narrow, smooth. 16-24 tentacles with laterally compressed basal bulbs, with abaxial ocellus."

Radial canals in $P$. conica as described by Kramp (1961) do not agree with those in $P$. cybeles, which are wide with jagged outlines.

According to Kramp (1961) the Pandea conica of Bigelow (1918) found between Chesapeake Bay and Bermuda is possibly a new species.

The main anatomical features used to distinguish species of Pandea are: shape, dimensions, and characteristics of umbrella; shape and dimensions of stomach; characteristics of canals; number and characteristics of tentacles.

In Pandea conica according to most authors, the height of the umbrella is almost twice its width. The stomach occupies the upper $1 / 3$ or probably half of the subumbrellar cavity. Ribs and tentacles are in the 20's, usually up to 24 .

In Pandea cybeles, width and height of umbrella are rather similar, only slightly higher than wide. The stomach is large, filling completely the subumbrellar cavity, extending to the edge of the umbrella. Ribs and marginal tentacles number 40.

Differences between $P$. conica and $P$. cybeles are clear when comparing the illustrations by Quoy \& Gaimard (1827), Hartlaub (1913), Russell (1953), and Kramp (1959, 1965), with those of $P$. cybeles in the present work.

Distribution. - The medusa is a meroplanktonic stage. Specimens of Pandea, including Pandea cybeles have been mainly obtained far offshore at oceanic localities.
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