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intermediate that no reliance can be placed on this colouring as a specific character.
28. Phlogexas Jobiensis (Meyer) ; Gould, B. New Guinea, part vii.-Chalcophaps margarithæ, D'Alb. \& Salvad. Ann. Mus. Civic. Genov. vii. p. 836 (1875).-Phlogœnas margarithæ, Salvad. op. cit. viii. p. 495 (1878).

A somewhat immature specimen in Mr. Broadbent's collection in brown plumage, glossed with purplish violet on the sides of the neck and shoulders; the head dark grey, with a few rufous feathers remaining ; the throat and chest whitish, obscured by rusty brown or greyish edges to the feathers; rest of under surface ashy brown, with obscure fulvous edges to the feathers. The specimen is not unlike Dr. Meyer's typical bird figured by Mr. Gould (l. c.).
29. Talegallus fuscirostris, Salvad.; Sharpe, Journ. Linn. Soc. xiii. p. 504.

The specimens sent by Mr. Broadbent and Mr. Goldie bear out. the character of the dusky bill, on which Count Salvadori separated the species.

On the Classification of the Maioid Crustacea or Oxyrhyncha, with a Synopsis of the Families, Subfamilies, and Genera. By Edward J. Miers, F.L.S., F.Z.S., Assistant in the Zoological Department, British Museum.
[Read March 6, 1879.]
(Plates XII. and XIII.)

- Introductoriy Remarks.

The Oxyrhyncha, or Maioid Crabs, have been placed by nearly all carcinologists at the head of the Brachyura, on account of the high degree of concentration exhibited both in the sensory organs and nervous system. There is perhaps no one of the great divisions of the higher Crustacea more numerous in genera and species, or more interesting on account of the great variety both of form and structure exhibited in the different types, nor any in which a thorough revision of the classification is more urgently needed.

No comprehensive account of the group has appeared since the
publication, in 1834, of Milne-Edwards's first volume of the 'Histoire naturelle des Crustacés,' wherein 36 genera of this group are enumerated.

Dana, in 1852, in his account of the Crustacea of the U.S. Exploring Expedition, gives a synopsis of the then known genera, the number of which had considerably increased. Since that time, however, no further revision has appeared; but during the twenty-six years that have elapsed, a very considerable number of new forms hare been made known to science, through the labours of modern carcinologists, among whom the late Dr. Stimpson and M. Alphonse Milne-Edwards must be particularly mentioned. The totalnumber of well-established genera included in the present rerision is 106 ; but not a few of those previously described are reduced to the rank of subgenera or are regarded as synonyma, and others, which are insufficiently known to me, are referred to parenthetically.

The Oxyrhyncha, as defined by M. Milne-Edavards, constitute as a whole a natural group; but no single character can be mentioned which will serve to distinguish them universally from the other Brachyura. Externally they are distinguished by their more or less elongated carapace (which is usually provided with a rostrum and narrows anteriorly), large epistoma, longitudinal antennules, and the position of the basal antennal joint, which in the typical Maiidæ is situated beneath the eyes. The buccal cavity is quadrate, with its anterior margin straight. The branchiæ are nine on each side, the afferent canal opens behind the pterygostomian regions in front of the anterior legs, and the efferent canal at the sides of the buccal cavity. The male genital appendages arise from the bases of the fifth ambulatory legs.

From the Oxystomata, which are closely related to the Oxyrhyncha in the narrowness of the frontal region and the concentration of the organs of sense, the latter are distinguished by the triangulate buccal cavity and the position of the afferent branchial channel ; but the genus Mesorhcea, recently described by Stimpson, evinces a remarkable approximation on the part of the Parthenopidæ to the Oxystomatous type. From the Cyclometopa (Cancroid Crabs) the typical Maiidæ are distinguished by the longitudinal antennules and the position of the basal antennal joint; but the Parthenopidæ, again, occupy in this respect a position almost intermediate between the rest of the Oxyrhyncha and
certain Cancroidea. They may indeed be regarded as not so much true Oxyrhyncha as a group osculant between these latter and the Cancroidea and Oxystomata.

Nearly all subsequent authors have retained the Oxyrbyncha as defined by Milne-Edwards. Dr. Strahl, however, in a system of classification of the Brachyura* based mainly upon characters afforded by the structure of the basal joint (basicerite) of the antennæ, separates the Parthenopinæ from the - Oxyrhyncha, and unites them with the Calappidæ and Matutidæ, which he removes from the other Oxystomata, and places Oncinopus in the vicinity of the Grapsoid genus Hymenosoma. His views were shortly afterwards adversely criticised by Stimpson $\dagger$, who demonstrated the inconvenience of a classification founded upon the modifications of a single organ, and necessitating the dismemberment of the older natural groups, and instanced several genera which would tbereby be removed from the place in the system to which their real affinities would assign them; nor do I believe Dr. Strabl's views have been adopted by any later carcinologist.

As regards the primary subdivisions of the Oxyrhyncha, the following are the principal classifications that have been proposed.

Milne-Edwards in $1834 \ddagger$, divided the Oxyrhinques (Oxyrhyncha) into three tribes or primary groups of equal value. The first two of these, his Macropodiens and Maïens, are distinguished merely by the greater length of the ambulatory legs of the former group, in which are placed all those forms in which the first and second ambulatory legs are longer than the anterior legs and more than twice as long as the postfrontal portion of the carapace. If this distinction were rigidly applied, it would be necessary to place not only nearly-allied genera, but species of the same genus (e. g. Doclea) in different families. Yet it is not to be denied that the greater length of the ambulatory legs is often correlated with important modifications of the structure of the orbits and antennæ.
M.-Edwards's third group, Parthénopiens, is a perfectly natural

[^0]one, and has been adopted by nearly all later authors, and constitutes the fourth family, Parthenopidæ, of the present revision. The genera included in his Macropodiens are, with a few exceptions (Latreillia, Egeria, Doclea), included in myं subfamilies Leptopodinæ and Inachiine. The primary sections of his Maïens (M. cryptophthalmes and M. phanérophthalmes) although somewhat differently characterized, correspond, the former (with the exception of Libinia, Lissa, Mithrax, and Chorinus) to my family Maiidæ; the latter, except Pericera, Paramicippa, and Stenocionops, to the subfamily Acanthonychinæ of my family Inachidæ.

De Haan, in the fourth decade of his great work *, divides his family Majacea into five primary groups, or "genera," i. e. Parthenope, Maja, Pisa, Doclea, and Inachus. The first of these corresponds to my family Parthenopidæ, CEthra being rightly included and Eurynome omitted from the group. The three following are characterized only by the form of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes (a most variable character); and the genera (or "subgenera" as they are designated by De Haan) are grouped together in each without reference to the orbital and antennal characters: consequently these groups are in no degree conterminous with those adopted in the present revision. The fifth, or Inachus group of De Haan, includes those genera which are characterized by the articulation of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes with the next at its summit instead of its antero-internal angle. 'This is a far more natural section; yet the rigid application of this character would now necessitate the separation of genera very closely allied in other respects, as Dana has shown in the case of Eurypodius and Oregonia; and other instances might be given.

In Dana's arrangement of the Maioidea $\dagger$, three legions or primary sections are established. The first (Maiinea) corresponds to the Macropodiens and Maiens of M.-Edwards, and is divided into five families; the second (Parthenopinea) corresponds to M.-Edwards's Parthénopiens; and the third (Oncininea) is established for the single genus Oncinopus of De Haan.

The characters of the families of the Maiinea are tabulated as follows:-

[^1]Pam. i. Mainde. Eyes retractile into orbits.
Fam. ii. Tychide. Eyes retractile beneath carapace; no orbits.
Fam. iii. Eurypodide. Eyes retractile to sides of carapace.
Fam. iv. Leptopodid.e. Eyes not retractile. Legs very long. Fam. v. Periceride. Fyes not retractile. Legs of moderate length.

With respect to this arrangement I may observe, in the first place, that the retractility or non-retractility of the eyes is scarcely a character that can be used for separating the families; for in many of the Leptopodiidæ the eyes are capable of a certain degree of mobility, and in many Periceridæ they are, as Stimpson has pointed out, completely retractile within the orbital cavity. It is somewhat remarkable that Dana did not observe the characters that are afforded by the structure of the orbital region itself, taken in conjunction with the concurrent modification of the form of the basal antennal joint, to which attention had already been drawn by Milne-Edwards, and which, I am convinced, offer far.better distinctions for a natural arrangement of the various groups. Within his first family (Maiidæ) Dana includes most of the genera referred by me to the Maiidæ and Periceridæ; his second family (Tychidæ) contains but three genera, whereof the last, Camposcia, has but little affinity with the two preceding; the third (Eurypodidæ) also includes but three genera, all referable to my family Inachidæ; the fourth (Leptopodidæ) corresponds, with the exception of Inachoides, to my subfamily Leptopodiinæ. The fifth (Periceridæ) is a somewhat heterogeneous group ; but the majority of the genera included in it belong to my subfamily Acanthonychinæ of the family Inachidæ.

The subfamilies of the Mainea institnted by Dana appear to me to be unnecessarily numerous, and are for the most part founded upon characters of minor importance, i.e. the form of the carapace and rostrum. His minor subdivisions, indeed, are less natural than those of Milne-Edwards ; but to him belongs the merit of having recognized that the Parthenopinea form a group equal in value to the remainder of the Oxyrhyncha (with the single exception of Oncinopus).
M. Alphonse Milne-Edwards, by whose finely illustrated memoirs our knowledge of the genera of Oxyrhyncha has been so greatly increased, has not, I believe, published any classification of the group; but in his classification of the Brachyura set forth in the introductory portion of his "Histoire natarelle des Crustacés
fossiles'*, establishes two families, Inachoïdiens and Maïens, apparently corresponding to Dana's Maiinea and Parthenopinea.

The late Dr. Stimpson, in his Preliminary Report of the Crustacea Brachyura dredged in the Grulf Stream $\dagger$, points out several errors in Dana's classification, and proposes or amends the characters of several subfamilies and families. Of these the Pericerinæ, Othoniinæ, Eurypodiidæ and Acanthonychidæ would seem to correspond respectively to my Periceridæ, Othoninæ, Inachidæ and Acanthonychinæ; but as often only a single character is mentioned by which to distinguish the groups, and no lists of the genera included are given, the limits he would have assigned to them had he lived to publish a complete system must remain uncertain. His subfamilies Leptopinæ and Collodinæ are not retained in the present classification.

It may be useful in conclusion to refer to the arrangement adopted by Dr. Claus in his lately-published Treatise on Zoology (Grundziige der Zoologie, 3te Aufl. p. 558, 1876), as, although this author does not do more than indicate the leading generic types of the Oxyrhyncha, his views are of special interest as emanating from a carcinologist of the highest reputation. In his system the Oxyrhyncha are divided into two families-Majidæ, Parthenopidæ-corresponding to the first and second of Dana's legions; and the Majidæ are further subdivided into three subfamilies :-(1) Majinæ, in which the eyes are retractile into orbits; (2) Eurypodinæ, in which the eyes are retractile

- but without orbits; and (3) Leptopodiinæ, with non-retractile eyes.

In the present revision the first and second of Dana's primary groups (Maiinea, Parthenopinea) are retained. The remarkable genus Oncinopus, for which Dana established a section (Oncininea) equal in value to the two above mentioned, must, I believe, be included in my family Inachidæ. The abbreviated character of the basal antennal joint is not peculiar to it, but exists also in Macrocheira; the genus, however, exhibits a certain degradation from the Brachyura in its subdorsally raised fifth ambulatory legs. In its antennal characters, no less than in the flattened triangulate form of the carapace, it approaches the Grapsoid genus Elamene and its allies.

> * Ann. Sci. Nat. tome xir. Zoo'. p. $185(1830)$.
> † Bulletin of Museum of Comparative Zoology, ii. p. 109 (1870).
> IINN. JOURN.-ZOOLOGY, VOL. XIV.

Within the Maiinea, a regular gradation of characters may be traced from the forms (Leptopodia and Stenorhyachus) with nonretractile and laterally projecting eyes and narrow basal* autennal joint and elongated epistoma, at one end of the series, to those (exemplified in Pericera and Mithrax) with deep circular and well-defined orbits, transverse epistoma, and greatly developed basal antennal joint, at the other ; and I accordingly distinguish among the Maiinea three principal groups, founded upon the orbital and antennal characters, as will be seen in the following tabular arrangement.

Legion I. Mainea. (Maiinea, Dana; Inachö̈diens, A. M.-Edwards.) Basal antennal joint well developed, inserted beneath the eyes, and occupying a great part of the infraocular space.
Family I. Inachide. Eyes non-retractile, or retractile against the sides of the carapace. No defined orbits exist; but there is often a welldeveloped præocular or postocular spine. Basal joint of antennæ usually very slender, sometimes moderately enlarged.

Family II. Mandes. Eyes retractile within the projecting orbits, which are more or less incomplete below the eyes, or marked with open fissures in their upper or lower margins. Basal antennal joint always more or less enlarged.

Family III. Periceride. Eyes usually retractile within the orbits, which are small, deep, and circular, never incomplete. Basal antennal joint well-developed, and usually very considerably enlarged.

As a rule, there can be no difficulty in assigning to any genus its place in one or other of the three families characterized above; yet, as the Maiida constitute a group intermediate between the Inachidæ and Periceridæ, there are certain genera which lie on the border line separating the Inachidæ and Maiidæ, which might be referred with almost equal justice to either family unless some artificial limit were imposed. In Loxorhynchus, for example, the preocular and postocular spines and basal antennal joint are largely developed, and this genus approximates closely in its orbital and antennal characters to $P i s a$ and its allies among the Maiinæ; and, to take another instance, Tyche has its upper orbital margin as much developed as Acanthophrys among the Maiidæ, yet cannot be separated from its natural allies Stenocionops and Stilbognathus, which belong to the Inachidæ. Again, among the Maiinea with deflėxed front, it will be shown that a regular transi-

[^2]tion may be traced from Micippa with well defined orbits, to the remarkable genus Picrocerus, in which the true orbits are as little developed as in many Inachinæ.

In cases such as these, I beheve it is often better to preserve the natural sequence of the genera, though in so doing one must slightly overstep the literal definition, than, by too strict an adherence to the definition of the group, to separate forms which in all characters save one may be nearly allied. Nature imposes no artificial limits; and not even an arbitrary distinction will in all cases avail to separate kindred forms *.

Legion II. Parthenopinea. (Parthenopinea, Dana et auctorum). Basal antennal joint very small, and embedded with the next joint in the narrow hiatns between the front and inner suborbital angle; the infraocular space being mainly occupied by the lower wall of the orbit.
Family IV. Parthenopids. Characters of the section:-This group corresponds in the main with M.-Edwards's Parthénopiens; but the cha.racters are modified to include several genera which agree with those known to Milne-Edwards in the structure of the orbits and antenne, but differ in the carapace and auterior legs. Moreover I follow De Haan in excluding Eurynome (which really belongs to the Maiidæ) and including Ethra (which is placed by Milne-Edwards in a separate section of the Cancériens-Cancériens cryptopodes).

As already stated, the Parthenopinea are very distinct as a group from the rest of the Oxyrhyncha. Perhaps their nearest affinities in that direction are with Inachus through Inachoides. The triangulate form of the carapace, with its strongly marked depressions separating the different regions, is the same, and the slender basal antennal joint. In Inachoides the rostrum is simple, as in Parthenope and Lambrus.

In the plates that accompany this paper I have figured what may be regarded as typical examples of the principal modifications in the structure of the orbital and antennal region throughout the Oxyrhyncha, wherein may be traced the gradual

[^3]approximation of the Maioid to the Cancroid type through the development of the orbits and of the basal portion of the antennæ, the increase in width of the interocular portion of the carapace, the shortening of the epistome, and the obsolescence of the rostrum.
In the synoptical arrangement of the families, subfamilies, and genera which follows, I have omitted all references to the literature, as these will, it is hoped, be given on a future occasion, and the characters themselves are to be regarded as merely diagnostic. Those genera which are unknown to me from examination of specimens are distinguished by an asterisk; and it may be, as the descriptions are often short and insufficient, that I have referred one or two to a wrong position in the system. Synonyma are placed in brackets and printed in italics. In every case I have cited what I regard as the typical species of the genus. Many of the genera are distinguished by characters of very trivial importance ; and it is impossible in any linear arrangement to express adequately their very complex affinities; but is hoped that the present arrangement, while on the whole a natural one, will serve as a practical guide to the determination and classification of the numerous types of this interesting group.

## Stroptical Arrangement of the Familetes, Subfamfleses, and Genera.

## Family I. INACHIDE.

Eyes non-retractile, or retractile against the sides of the carapace. No defined orbits exist; but there is often a well-developed preocular and postocular spine. Basal joint of antennæ usually slender, sometimes moderately enlarged.
The carapace varies in shape, being subtriangular, or oblong-triangular, or subpyriform. Rostrum simple or bifid, sometimes very short. Anterior legs with the fingers never excavated at the tips. Ambulatory legs sometimes very long. Postabdomen of male and female 4to 7 -jointed, two or three of the joints often coalescent.

Subfamily 1. Leptopoditine. (See Plate XII. figs. 1, 2.) ' Macropodiens, M.-Edwards, part. ; Leptopodidee, Dana, part., Stimpson.)
Eyes slender, non-retractile, and laterally projecting. Præocular and postocular spines minute or wanting. Basal antennal joint very slender throughout its length.

The carapace is subtriangular. Rostrum usually simple (bifid in Stenorhynchus). The merus joint of the outer maxillipedes is truncated, or elongated and rounded at its distal end, and articulated with the next joint at its summit or at its antero-external or antero-internal angle. The anterior legs have the palm cylindrical or inflated, fingers acute. Ambulatory legs slender and very long.

The genera included in this subfamily are placed at the head of the Maioidea on account of the close approximation of the eyes and antennæ and their separation from the rest of the body by the constriction of the postocular portion of the cephalothorax; the epistome is very long, and generally two or three of the postabdominal segments coalescent.
§ Rostrum extremely long, simple. A postocular spine. Anterior legs with the paln elongated, cylindrical. Ambulatory legs extremely long.
Leptopodia, Leach (Macropus, Latr., part.; Pactolus, Leach). Carapace smooth, even above. Antennæ concealed beneath the rostrum. Type Leptopodia sagittaria (Fabr.).

* Metoporaphis, Stimpson. Carapaco uneven above. Antennæ long, flagellum exposed. Type Metoporaphis calcarata (Say).
$\S \S$ Rostrum composed of two spines, or very short and simple. No postocular spine. Anterior legs with the palm shorter, inflated.

Stenorhynchus, Lamarcł (Macropus, Latr., part.; Maeropodia, Leach). (Plate XII. figs. 1, 2.) Rostrum elongated, of two slender contiguous spines. Type Stenorhynchus rostratus (Linn.).

Acheus, Leach. Rostrum very short, emarginate. Type Acheus Cranchii, Leach.

The characters derived from the form of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes and dactyli of the ambulatory legs are subject to much variation in the exotic species.

* Podochela, Stimpson. Rostrum simple, acute. Pterygostomian regions naked. Type Podochela grossipes, Stim

Podonema, Stimpson. Rostrum simple, rounded, excarate beneath, and hood-shaped. Pterygostomian regions with lamelli-
form ridges defining the afferent branchial channels. Type Podonema Riisei, Ştimpson.

Subfamily 2. Inachins. (See Plate XII. figs. 3, 4.) (Macropodiens, M.-Edwards, part. ; Eurypodiida, Stimpson.)

Eyes slender and retractile. Prococular spine usually wanting, postocular usually distinct. Basal antennal joint usually very slender throughout its length, not narrowing distally.

The carapace is subtriangular or subpyriform ; its margin is often slightly produced over the base of the eye-peluncles. Rostrum simple, bifid, or two-spined. The merus joint of the outer maxillipedes is either truncated and articulated with the next joint at its antero-internal angle, or elongated and rounded at its distal end. The anterior legs in the male are small, or have the palm inflated and the fingers acute. The ambulatory legs are usually slender, and often very long. Postabdomen 5- to 7-jointed.
In this subfamily are included what may*be considered the typical Inachidæ. The form of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes would probably afford excellent sectional characters; but as many of the genera are unknown to me, I prefer to group them according to the form of the rostrum.

## § Rostrum very short, emarginate. <br> * Basal antennal joint reaching to front.

Eucinetops, Stimpson. Carapace suboblong. Rostrum short, bifid, slightly deflexed. Eyes extremely long and mobile. Outer maxillipedes with the merus joint short, truncated at distal end. Ambulatory legs of $\cdot$ moderate length. Type Eucinetops Lucasii, Stimpson.

Camposcia, Latreille. Carapace elongated, subpyriform. Rostrum very short, emarginate. Eyes long and slender. Outer maxillipedes with the merus joint elongated, obovate, and rounded at its distal end. Ambulatory legs very long. Type Camposcia. retusa, Latreille.

The genus Eucinetops in the form of the carapace, eyes, and maxillipedes has some affinity with Micippa and its allies; Camposcia, in the form of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes, approaches Inachus.

The four following genera (of none of which I have seen specimens) are constituted a distinct subfamily (Collodinæ) by Stimpson, on account of the shortness of the rostrum. This character is of scarcely sufficient importance for such a purpose; and it
appears to me that these genera must certainly be arranged in the same subdivision with Camposcia aud Eucinetops.
*Collodes, Stimpson. Carapace subtriangular. Rostrum bifid, with the spines approximated. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes produced internally. Eyes of moderate length. Ambulatory legs all subprehensile, tarsi slender. Type Collodes granosus, Stimpson.
*Arachnopsis, Stimpson. Carapace narrow, suboblong. Rostrum bifid. Eyes long. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes broader than long. Ambulatory lags filiform, tarsi straight. Type Arachnopsis filipes, Stimpson.
*Batrachônotus, Stimpson. Carapace subtriangular. Rostrum emarginate. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes broad. Ambulatory legs of the first pair extremely long, those of the posterior pairs very short. Type Batrachonotus fragosus, Stimpson.
*Euprognatifa, Stimpson. Carapace subpyriform. Rostrum apparently trifid (the median lobe being the interantennulary spine). A præocular spine. Eye large, pedurcle short. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes somewhat L-shaped. Type Euprognatha rastellifera, Stimpson.

Acheopsis, Stimpson. Carapace triangular, with the regions well defined. Rostrum short, bifid; postocular spine small; a preocular spine present. Outer maxillipedesowith the merus joint elongated. Ambulatory legs slender ; three last pairs with the dactyli falciform. Type Achcoopsis spinulosus, Stimpson.

Inaches, Fabr. Carapace triangular, with the regions well defined. Rostrum very short, bifid; no preocular spine; postocular spine large. Outer maxillipedes with the merus "joint elongated. Ambulatory legs elongated, with the terminal joints usually straight. Type Inachus dorsettensis (Pennant).
** Basal antennal joint very short, not reaching to front.
Oncinopus, De Haan. Carapace elongate-triangular. Front emarginate. Basal autennal joint very short, the nest longer. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes elongated and articulated with the next at its summit. Ambulatory legs slender, the penultimate joints of the first and second pairs more or less dilated and
compressed, the fifth somewhat raised upon the dorsal surface. Type Oncinopus aranea, De Haan.

This curious genus in the form of the basal antennal joint comes nearest Macrocheira, and also has some affinity with the Grapsoid genus Elamene and its allies. In the subdorsally elevated fifth ambulatory legs it approaches the Maioid Anomura.

## §§ Rostrum simple.

*Ivachordes, Milne-Edwards (Xiphus, Eydoux \& Souleyet). Carapace triangular, with the regions well defined. No præocular spine. Postocular well developed. Anterior legs with the palm inflated. Ambulatory legs very slender, with the dactyli straight. Type Inachoides microrhynchus, M.-Edw. \& Lucas.

## §§§ Rostrum long, two-spined.

* Spines of rostrum contiguous with one another.

Eurypodius, Guérin-Méneville. Spines of rostrum rather stout, narrowing to distal extremity. Ambulatory legs very long, with the penultimate joint dilated and compressed. Type Eurypodius Latreillei, Guérin.

Oregonia, Dana. (Plate XII. figs. 3, 4.) Carapace flattened, not spinose. Spines of rostrum very slender. Ambulatory legs of moderate length, very slender, with the penultimate joint similar to the preceding not dilated and compressed. Type Oregonia gracilis, Dana.

Pleistacantha, Miers. Carapace convex, spinose. Spines of rostrum long, divergent at their tips. Anterior legs in male elongated. Ambulatory legs very slender and very long, penultimate joint not dilated and compressed. Type Pleistacantha sancti-johannis, Miers.

## ** Spines of rostrum divergent.

1. Third joint of outer maxillipedes not emarginate at its distal end.

Halimus, Latreille. Carapace subtriangular, with lateral marginal spines. Three spines above the eye. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes somewhat auriculated and produced at its antero-external angle. Anterior legs in male enlarged, palm slightly compressed. Ambulatory legs with the penultimate
joint more or less flattened, and dilated toward its distal end. Type Halimus auritus, Latreille.

This genus establishes a transition to the Maiidæ.
Amathia, Roux. Carapace subtriangular, spinose. No spines above the eye. Anterior legs of moderate size. Ambulatory legs slender and cylindrical, penultimate joint not dilated. Type Amathia Rissoana, Roux.

Chorinus, Leach. Carapace elongated, convex, without lateral marginal spines. A prominent preocular spine. Eyes very small. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes not auriculated. Anterior legs in the male greatly elongated. Ambulatory legs of the first pair much elongated, of the last three pairs short. Type Chorinus heros (Herbst).

Machocheira, De Haan. Carapace triangular. Præocular spine small. Basal antennal joint very small, not reaching the front. Merus joint of the outer maxillipedes elongated, and rounded at its distal end (as in Camposcia and Inachus). Legs very long. Type Macrocheiva Kämpferi, De Haan.

## 2. Third joint of the outer maxillipedes notched at its distal end.

Erichorlatùs, A. M.-Edwards. Carapace subtriangular. Rostrum bifid, its spines divergent. Basal joint of antennæ rather robust. Anterior legs in male long and slender. Ambulatory legs with the penultimate joint dilated and square-truncated as in Acanthonyx. Type Erichoplatus Huttoni, A. M.-Edwards.

This genus establishes the transition from the present subfamily to the Acanthonychiñ. The single species was contemporaneously described by me as Halimus Hectori, from an imperfect specimen.

Subfamily 3. Acanthonychinet. (See Plate XII, figs. 5, 6.) (Maüens phanérophthalmes, M.-Edw., part.; Acanthonychide, Stimpson.)

Eyes small and immobile or partially retractile, and concealed beneath the prominent proocular spine. Basal antennal joint usually enlarged at base and narrowing distally. Postocular spine small or absent.

The carapace is usually more or less oblong and flattened, more rarely elongated and subcylindrical or subtriangular. Rostrum simple or bifid. The merus joint of the outer maxillipedes is truncated at its distal end, and articulated with the next joint at its antero-internal angle. The anterior legs in the male usually have the palm compressed. The ambulatory legs are of moderate length. Postabdomen 4- to 7 -jointed.

Some of the genera in the first and second sections of this subfamily approach the Leptopodiinæ in the length of their epistoma, narrow interocular space, and coalescent postabdominal segments. Consequently the Acanthonychinæ are to be regarded as a series parallel with, rather than inferior to, the Leptopodiinæ in a natural arrangement.
§ Carapace elongated, ovate-cylindrical. Rostrum elongated, emarginate or bifurcated. Proocular minute, or wanting. Eyes immobile. Last two pairs of legs very short.
This section would appear to correspond with Stimpson's subfamily Anomalopinæ.

Xenocarcinus, White (Huenioides, A. Milne-Edwards). (Plate XII. fig. 5.) Carapace ovate-cylindrical. Præocular spine wanting. Antennæ concealed beneath the rostrum. Type Xenocarcinus tuberculatus, White.
*Anomalothir (Anomalopus, Stimpson, nom. præoc.). Carapace almost subcylindrical. Præocular minute. Antennæ visible from above. Type Anomalothir furcillatus (Stimpson).
$\S \S$ Carapace in the male subtriangular. Rostrum simple, acute.
Ambulatory legs regularly decreasing in length.

* Eyes immobile. Sexes (where known) dissimilar.
*Mocosoa, Stimpson. Carapace subpentagonal. Rostrum subtriangular, entire, obtuse, excavated below. Præocular? Outer maxillipedes with the merus joint short, broad, and produced at its antero-external angle. Anterior legs? Type Mocosoa crebrepunctata, Stimpson.

Trigonothir, Miers. Carapace subtriangular. Rostrum entire, obtuse, flattened below, and produced into lateral carinæ. Præocular wanting. Outer maxillipedes with merus joint not produced at its antero-external angle. Anterior legs rather small, palm compressed. Type Trigonothir obtusirostris, sp. n.

A single specimen, locality unknown, is in the Museum collection.
Huenis, De Haan. Rostrum slender, deep, and laterally compressed, acute ; præocular spitt small. Sexes dissimilar (the carapace in the female being produced into large lateral lobes or expansions). Hands compressed, cristate above. Ambulatory
legs more or less dilated and compressed. Type Huenia proteus, De Haan.

Simocarcinus, Miers. Rostrum as in Huenia, but shorter. Preocular spine wanting. Sexes dissimilar. Hands in adult male turgid, not cristate above. Ambulatory legs not compressed. Type Simocarcinus simplex (Dana).'
The type of this genus is the Huenia simplex of Dana: this species and his $H$. brevirostrata are obviously male and female of the same form. Specimens are in the British Museum of both sexes.

Cyclonyx, Miers. Rostrum laminate, flattened, very broad, and transversely oval in shape. Eyes situated in the narrow angle between the base of the rostrum and front of the carapace, the sides of which are produced into dilated wing-like expansions as in Huenia. Type Cyclonyx frontalis (White). Only known from a single female in bad condition.
** Eyes mobile. Sexes similar.
Menethius, Mr.-Edw. Rostrum slender, acute. Præocular spine well developed. Carapace subtriangular. Anterior legs with the palm slightly compressed, fingers arcuate. Ambulatory legs not compressed. Type Mencthius nonoceros, Latr.
§§§ Carapace usually more or less oblong or orbiculate in outline. Rostrum flattened, emarginate, bifd, or two-spined. Preocular usually well developed. Eyes mobile. Basal antennal joint dilated at base, narrowing distally. Ambulatory legs of moderate length.

* Flagellum of antennce concealed beneath the rostrum and not visible from above.
*Leucippe, MT.-Edwards. Rostrum laminate, divided by a narrow median fissure. Carapace subtriangular or subpentagonal. Proocular spine wanting. Basal antennal joint not much enlarged at the base. Type Leucippe pentagona, M.-Edwards.
This genus marks the transition from the preceding section to the present one.

Mimulus, Stimpson. Rostrum laminate, bifid. Carapace flattened, subpentagonal, with the lateral margins in both sexes produced into bilobate laminate expansions, Prooculan
spine present. Basal antennal joint not much cularged at base. Type Mimulus foliatus, Stimpson.

Episltus, M.-Edwards. Rostrum lamellate, emarginate. Preocular spine present. Basal antennal joint considerably cularged at base. Ambulatory legs with the penultimate joint not dilated and compressed.

Subgenus 1. Epiatitus. Carapace suboblong. Second tooth of the antero-lateral margins greatly developed. Type Epialtus bituberculatus, M.-Edwards.

Subgenus 2. Antilibinia, $M^{\prime}$ 'Leay. Carapace suboral, smooth, or uneven. Second tooth of the antero-lateral margins small. Type Antilibinia Smithii, M‘Leay.
I place in this subgenus the W.-A merican species with smooth oval carapace. M‘Leay's type species is from S. Africa. In the American species ( $\boldsymbol{E}$. dentatus, $\boldsymbol{E}$. emarginatus) the orbital margin, although not prominent, is so well defined and circular that they might almost be separated as a distinct genus, and placed near Scyra in the Periceridæ. A. Smithii externally resembles the species of Libinia.
*Eupleurodon, Stimpson. Carapace depressed and uneven; antero-lateral angles strongly prominent. Ambulatory legs strongly prehensile, penultimate joints dentigerous. Type Eupleurodon trifurcatus, Stimpson.

This genus seems to be in some degree intermediate between the subgenera Epialtus and Antilibinia.
** Flagella of antenne exposed and-visible from above at side of rostrum (basal joint of antennce not much enlarged at base).

Pugetria, Dana (Peltinia, Dana). Carapace somewihat constricted behind the second lateral spine. Ambulatory legs slender, with the penultimate joint not dilated or compressed. Type Pugettia gracilis, Dana.

Acanthonyx, Latreille. (Plate XII.fig. 6.) Carapace usually suboblong, not constricted behiud the second lateral spine. Ambulatory legs with the penultimate joint more or less flattened, dilated, and compressed. Type Acanthonyx lunulatus, Risso.
(The genus Dehaanius of M‘Leay, Annulosa in Smith's S. Africa,
p. 57, pl. iii. fig. $a$, would seem, from the figure and the position assigned to it by its author, to have the eyes retractile within well-defined orbits, and hence to be referable to the family Maiidæ. Except as regards the orbital characters, the species figured ( $D e$ haanius acanthopus) altogether resembles the S.-African Acanthonyx dentatus, M.-Edw. Perhaps there is sqme error in M'Leay's delineation of the species in question.)

## Subfamily 4. Microrhynchine.

Eyes short and completely retractile; the postocular spine or lobe largely developed. Basal antennal joint considerakly enlarged throughout its length (except in some Doclea). Præocular spine short or absent.

The rostrum is simple or more or less bifurcated. Carapace more or less triangular and convex. The merus joint of the onter maxillipedes cordiform, or truncated at its distal extremity. Legs usually rather slender. Postabdomen of male and female 6- to 7 -jointed.

This group is altogether intermediate in the structure of the orbital and antennal regionbetween the Inachidæ and the Maiidæ. The genus Loxorhynchus, for example, closely approaches in these respects $P$ isa and its allies; but the upper orbital margin is not developed as in the genera of that section of Maiidæ. Of most of the genera $I$ have seen no specimens.

## § Rostrum simple. No prcoocular spine.

* Mrcroriynchos, Bell (? Salacia, M.-Edwards \& Lucas). Carapace broadly triangular. Rostrum very short. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes somewhat cordiform. Anterior legs in male small. Ambulatory legs of moderate length. Type Mierorhynchqๆ gibbosus, Bell.
*Apiomaia, v. Martens (Pyromaia, Stimpson). Carapace somewhat pyriform. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes with the antero-internal lobe strongly projecting. The rest nearly as in Microrhynchus. Type Apiomaia cuspidata (Stimpson).
*Esopus, A. Milne-Edwards. Carapace elongate-oval, convex. Front rounded at its distal end. Outer maxillipedes with the merus joint slightly produced at its antero-lateral angle. Type Esopus crassus, A. M.-Edwards.
$\S \S$ Rostrum bifid or emarginate. A prcocular spine usually
present.
Loxorhinchus, Stimpson. Rostrum slightly deflexed, bifid;
the spines coalescent at base, and then divergent. A prominent præocular and postocular spine. Basal joint of antenne considerably enlarged. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes entire at its distal end. Ambulatory legs of moderate length. Type Loxorhynchus grandis, Stimpson.
*Libidocléa, M.-Edwards. Rostrum prominent, not deflexed and emarginate at its distal end. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes notched at its distal margin. Legs rather long. Type Libidoclea granaria, M.-Edw. \& Lucas.

This genus is closely allied to Libinia in the family Periceridæ.

Doclea, Leach. Carapace orbiculate-triangular, or orbiculate. Rostrum usually very short, emarginate. No præocular spine. Basal antenual joint but moderately enlarged at base, Legs very long and slender. Type Doclea Rissonii, Leach.

This gemus is closely allied to Egeria, and marks the transition to that genus of Maiidæ.

## Subfamily 5. Stenocionopine.

Eyes elongated and retractile, partly concealed by the præocular spine, which is very greatly elongated. Basal antennal joint considerably enlarged throughout its length.

The rostrum is composed of two spines. Carapace somewhat oblong and posteriorly prolonged. The articulation of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes with the preceding joint is often very peculiar.

The enlarged basal antennal joint marks the approach of this subfamily to the Maiidæ. Were the upper orbital margin as well developed in all the genera as in Tyche, it would be better to constitute it a subfamily of that group.
*Stenocionops, Latreille. Upper orbital margin behind præocular spine not developed. Posterior lobe of the carapace simple. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes greatly produced and acute at its antero-external angle, and with a notch on its inner margin for the insertion of the fourth joint. Type Stenocionops cervicornis (Herbst).
*Stilbognathus, v. Martens. Facies of Stenocionops. Ischium joint of the outer maxillipedes with a longitudinal pit on its outer surface which is covered with bristles; merus joint convex and
shining, with a flat rounded lobe at its antero-external angle. Type Stilbognathus erythrous, v. Martens.

Tyche, Bell (Platyrhynchus, Desb. \& Schramm*). Upper orbital margin behind the præocular spine well developed, laterally produced, and concealing the eyes, with a deep fissure. Ischium and merus joints of outer maxillipedes flat and smooth; the third or merus joint dovetailed into the second, not produced, or with a small lobe at its antero-external angle. Type Tyche lamellifrons, Bell.

## Family II. MAIIDA.

Eyes retractile within the orbits, which are distinctly defined; but often more or less incomplete below, or marked with open fissures in their upper and lower margins. Basal antennal joint always more or less enlarged.

Subfamily 1. Mainfa. (See Plate XII. figs. 7-10.)
(Maiens cryptophthalmes, M.-Edwards, part.).
Carapace usually subtriangular. Rostrum well developed. Anterior legs in male enlarged ; fingers not excavate at tips.

This subfamily includes most of those typical forms which group themselves around the common Maia, in which the carapace is usually triangular or elongate-triangular, the rostrum emarginate or two-spined, the orbits large, well defined and yet incomplete, eyes completely retractile, anterior legs with fingers acute, and ambulatory legs usually of moderate length.

Stimpson proposed to separate as a distinct subfamily (Leptopine) the group typified by Egeria (Leptopus, Latr.), on account of the broad and somewhat cordiform merus joint of the outer maxillipedes. Egeria could not in any case be taken as typical of the group, as in it the merus joint of the outer nfaxillipedes is not cordiform, but truncated at its distal end. A certain affinity undoubtedly exists between the genera in which the merus joint is cordiform, as also between those (typified by Camposcia) which have the merus joint elongated, often rounded at the distal end, and articulated with the next at the summit; but these characters cannot be employed as a basis for a general classification, and do

[^4]not always harmonize with the characters derived from the structure of the orbits and antenne.
§ Rostrum vertically compressed and laminated, bifid, or notched at the extremity. Orbits shallow and very open above, so that the eyes, when retracted, are more or less visible from above, the eyes themselves short and thick.

* Ambulatory legs extremely long and slender.

Egrris, Latr. (Leptopus, Lamk., pt.). Carapace broadly triangular, spinose. Rostrum prominent, notched. Orbits with two wide fissures below. Basal antennal joint rather narrow. Anterior legs of male rather small. Type Egeria longipes (Herbst).

Chorimbinta, Lookington. Carapace triangular. Rostrum long, the spines coalescent at base and divergent at tip. Basal antennal joint moderately enlarged. Upper orbital margin very prominent. Eye-peduncles short. Type Chorilibinia angusta, Lockington.

## ** Ambulatory legs of moderate length.

a. Carapace not spinose.
*Hemts, A. Milne-Edwards. Rostrum deflexed, notched at the extremity. Basal joint of antennæ enlarged ; the second and third joints also dilated and visible from above, with lateral winglike expansions. Merus joints of the ambulatory legs considerably dilated. Type Hemus cristulipes, A. Milne-Edwards.
Hyas, Leach. Rostrum bifid; the median fissure narrow. Basal joint of antennæ not much enlarged, the second joint moderately dilated, the third joint narrow. Ambulatory legs not dilated or compressed. Type Hyas araneus (Linn.).

Chionacetes, Kröyer (Peloplastus, Gerstäcker). Rostrum notched, not deflexed. Basal joint of antennæ very narrow, second and third not dilated. Ambulatory legs in the adult with the joints somewhat compressed and flattened. Type Chionocetes opilio, Kröyer.

## b. Carapace spinose.

Herbstia, Milne-Edwards (Rhodia, Bell, Micropisa, Stimpson). Rostrum notched. Carapace broadly triangular,with the regions
well defined. Second and third joints of antennæ slender. Ambulatory legs slender, cylindrical.

Subgenus 1. Herbstia. Inferior margin of orbit without a tooth. Ambulatory legs not spinose. Type Herbstia condyliata (Herbst).

Subgenus 2. *Herbstiella, Stm. Inferior margin of orbit toothed. Merus joint of ambulatory legs spinose. Type Herbstiella depressa, Stm.

This genus establishes a relationship to Mithrax.
*Cglocerus, A. Milne-Edwards. Carapace suborbiculate. Rostrum prominent, with a shallow notch at its distal end, and its lateral margins involuted. Second and third joints of antennæ slender. Legs short. Type Coelocerus spinosus, A. M.-Edwards.

Is nearly allied to Libinia; and it may be desirable so to modify its characters as to include any species of that genus which may have the orbital margin incomplete or notched. The involution of the margins of the rostrum is of minor importance, as the same character occurs in a lesser degree in the typical species of Libinia (L. emarginata).
§§ Rostrum composed of two more or less distinct divergent spine Orbits deep, so that the eyes, when retracted, are concealed; the eyes themselves small, the eye-peduncles slender.
† Orbits large, with a forward aspect, usually very incomplete below, the upper orbital margin usually prominent, with two deep fissures and long spines.
*Flagellum of the antenne arising within the orbital cavity.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{AIA}}$, Lamarck. (Plate XII. figs. 7, 8.) Spines of rostrum divergent from their base. Carapace triangulate-oblong, the interorbital space broad. Basal joint of antennæ very much enlarged. Anterior legs in male rather slender; wrist elongated, not carinated. Type Maia squinado (Linn.).
**Flagellum of the antenne arising within the oro nargin, and separated from the cavity of the orbit by a narro prun is of the basal joint.
Paramithrax, M.-Edwards. Carapace subtriangular. Spines of rostrum divergent from their base. Anterior margin of buccal cavity straight, or nearly so. Basal joint of antegnæ very much LINN. JOURN.-ZOOLOGY, VOL. xIv. 49
enlarged. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes notched at its an-tero-internal angle.
Subgenus 1. Leptomithrax, Miers. Anterior legs in male elongated, slender; band and wrist subcylindrical ; wrist not ridged, fingers meeting along their inner edges when closed. (Carapace not spinose above.) Type Leptomithrax longimanus, Miers.

Subgenus 2. Paramithrax, M.-Edw. Anterior legs in-the male enlarged; hand compressed, fingers with a vacant space between them when closed, wrist with two ridges, the outer usually oblique. (Carapace usually spinose above.) Type Paramithrax Peronii, M.-Edw.

As regards external form, every gradation appears to be established between the typical Paramithrax and Acanthophrys, in which the carapace and legs are more or less spinose, the upper orbital margin produced above; but the spine at the antero-external angle of the basal joint of the antennæ projects laterally instead of forward, as in that genus; and the form of the merus joint of the outer maxillipedes is different.
(The genus *Phycodes, established by A. Milne-Edwards on a species, $P$. antennarius, from. St. Vincent, has, I believe, never been figured, but should perhaps be referred to the vicinity of Paramithrax. The carapace is pyriform. Spines of rostrum short, acute. Orbits large, ill-defined, emarginate above; eyes partially retractile; postocular spine large. Basal antennal joint long, enlarging distally, ending in two spines, of which the outer is very prominent; flagellum inserted outside rostrum. Third joint of outer maxillipedes much larger on its outer than its inner side. Ambulatory legs long ; dactyli curved. There appears to be some inconsistency in the generic and specific descriptions of the frontal and orbital region.)

- *Oplopisa, $A$. Milne-Edwards. Carapace pyriform. Spines of rostrum straight, divergent. Anterior margin of buccal cavity much more prominent on the sides than in the median portion. Merus joint ${ }^{\text {' }}$ cuter maxillipedes much dilated at its anteroexternal ${ }^{11}$ Ambulatory legs short, and regularly spinose. Type Oplopisa inipes, A. Milne-Edwards.
Acanthoperrys, A. Milne-Edwards. Carapace subtriangular. Spines of rostrum divergent. Upper orbital margin prominent. Supraocular spine produced above the eye. Basal antennal joint
with a spine at its antero-external angle, which projects forward. Anterior margin of buccal cavity straight, or nearly so. Merus joint of outer maxillipedes dilated at its distal margin, rounded and entire, without any notch for the insertion of the next joint. Type Acanthophrys cristimanus, A. Milne-Edwards.

I propose to restrict this genus to species characterized by the entire merus joint of the outer maxillipedes, as there appears to be no other certain distinction between it and Paramithrax, and accordingly cite $A$. cristimanus as the type, because (if the figure be correct) it presents this peculiarity. Two species of the genus are in the British-Museum collection.
$\dagger$ Orbits small, with a lateral aspect; orbital margin not prominent, with a hiatus above and below (rarely in Pisa there are two hiatus above).

Pisa, Leach. (Plate XII. figs. 9, 10.) Carapace triangular, rounded behind. Præocular spine usually large. Spines of rostrum long, parallel, or in contact, to near their extremities. Epistome transverse, rather narrow. Basal joint of antennæ much enlarged, and terminating at its distal extremity in one or two spines or tubercles.

Subgenus 1. Pisa, Leach (Blastus, Leach). Anterior legs in the male with the palm dilated; fingers curved, and meeting only at the ends. Carapace ovate-triangular. Type Pisa tetraodon (Pennant).

Subgenus 2. Arctopsis, Lamarck. Carapace subtriangular. Anterior legs in the male with the palms elongated and rather slender; fingers straight, and meeting along their inner edges. Type Arctopsis lanata, Lamarck.
*Prsoides, Milne-Edwards $\&$ Lucas. Carapace subtriangular. Spines of rostrum short, subparallel. No præocular spine. Epistome very narrow, nearly linear. Basal antennal joint with a tubercle at its distal end. Type Pisoides Edwardsii (Bell).

Notolopas, Stimpson. Carapace with the back flattened, and bounded posteriorly by a broad concave lamella. Rostrum with the spines divaricate. A præocular spine. Basal joint of antennæ with a lobe at its distal end. Type Notolopas lamellatus, Stimpson.

Hyastenus, White (Lahaina, Dana). Carapace triangular, rounded behind. Spines of rostrum long, straight, divergent from their base. Præocular spine small or obsolete. Orbits small, with a hiatus above and one below. Basal joint of antennæ not much enlarged. First ambulatory legs greatly elongated.

Subgenus 1. Hyastenus. Carapace smooth and even above, with none or with few long spines. Basal joint of antennæ without a spine at its distal end. Anterior legs in male small and slender. Type Hyastenus Seba, White.
Subgenus 2. Chorilis, Dana. Carapace uneven and tubercular above. Basal joint of antennæ usually with a spine at its distal end. Anterior legs in male usually enlarged, with the palm compressed. Type Chorilia longipes, Dana.
(The genus Lahaina, Dana, is intermediate between Hyastenus and Chorilia. In the form of the carapace and rostrum and anterior legs it resembles the first; in the presence of a preocular spine, and the existence of a spine on the basal joint of the antennæ, the second. Nevertheless, as the subgenera Hyastenus and Chorilia represent two types of the genus differing much in external appearance, it seems better to retain them as distinct.)

Naxia, M.-Edw. (Naxioides, A. Milne-Edwards; Podopisa, Hilgendorf). Carapace subtriangular. Spines of rostrum parallel, and bearing near their extremities an accessory spinule. Præqcular spine usually present. Orbits as in Hyastenus*. Basal joint of antennæ longer than broad; its antero-external angle tuberculiform. First ambulatory legs very long. Type Naxia serputifera, M.-Edwards.

Scarcely distinct, perhaps, as a genus, from Hyastenus.
Micippordes, A. Milne-Edwards. Carapace subtriangular. Spines of rostrum rather short, deflexed, acute. No præocular spine. Basal joint of antennæ enlarged, without spine or tubercle at its distal end. Anterior legs in male with the palm dilated. Ambulatory legs of moderate length. Type Micippoides angustifrons, A. M.-Edwards.

Marks a transition to Prionorhynchus among the Periceridæ.

* In young specimens of $N$. serpulifera the fissures of the orbits are wider, and constitute a veritable hiatus. It appears, therefore, necessary to unite Naxioides, A. M.-Edwards, with this genus, as it is only distinguished by the absence of a præocular spine, a character which by itself cannot be considered of generic importance.

Eurfnome, Leach. Carapace subtriangular, tuberculated, and spinose. Spines of rostrum laminate at base, acute at ends, slightly divergent. No præocular spine. Basal antenmal joint enlarged at base, longitudinally sulcated, without a spine at its distal end. Anterior legs in male elongated, much longer than the ambulatory legs, which are all of moderate length. Type Eurynome aspera (Pennant).

This genus has been placed by Milne-Edwards and other authors in the Parthenopidæ; but in all structural characters it is obviously allied to Pisa and Hyastenus.

Pelia, Bell. Carapace subpyriform. Rostrum with the spines united at base, afterwards divergent. No præocular spine. Basal antennal joint elongated; its distal half visible from above at the side of the rostrum. Legs all of moderate length. Type Pelia pulchella, Bell.

## Subfamily 2. Schizophrysinte.

Carapace very broadly triangular, or oval, or nearly circular. Rostrum very short or obsolete. Anterior legs in male small, slender ; the fingers usually excavated at the tips.

This subfamily establishes the transition of the Maiidæ to the subfamily Mithracinæ in the Periceridæ, and includes those Maioids in which the rostrum is reduced, until in Cyclax its spines are represented only by two small tubercles, the orbits often nearly complete, or marked with very narrow fissures, the carapace broadly triangular or nearly circular, the epistome short, the basal antennal joint very largely developed, and the anterior legs with the fingers more or less excavated.

## § Anterior legs with the fingers acute at the tips.

*Temnonotus, A. Milne-Edwards. Carapace ovate-elliptical, convex and tuberculated above, with a horseshoe-shaped pit on the dorsal surface. A præocular spine present. Spines of rostrum simple, well developed. Orbital fissures narrow; eyes short, thick. Basal joint of antennæ much enlarged. Type Temnonotus granulosus, A. M.-Edwards.

Only females of this genus are known; and it is possible that in adult males the fingers may be excavated as in other genera of the same group.

## §§ Anterior legs with the fingers excavated at the tips.

Schizophrys, White (Dione, De Haan, nom. præoc.). Carapace orbiculate-triangular or rarely elongated. Spines of rostrum distinct, with one or more accessory spines upon their outer margins. Orbits large. Basal joint of antennæ rather slender, with two spines at its distal end. Type Schizophrys aspera (MilneEdwards).

The fingers are acute and not excavated in S. dama (Herbst), a species which differs from others of the genus in its more elongated form, and approaches the Maiidw.

Cyclax, Dana. Carapace orbiculate or oblong-orbiculate. Spines of rostrum simple, very short or rudimentary. Orbits large. Basal joint of antennæ usually much enlarged.

The accessory spines of the rostrum of Schizophrys constitute the only positive distinction (although an arbitrary one) that can be employed to separate the species of that genus from Cyclax and Nemausa.

Subgenus 1. Cyclax, Dana. Spines of rostrum longer. Eyepeduncles longer. Basal joint of antennæ slenderer, with two spines at its distal end. Type Cyclax Perryi, Dana.

Subgenus 2. Cyclomata, Stimpson. Spines of rostrum rudimentary. Eyes large. Basal joint of antennæ very broad, with three spines at its distal end. Type Cyclomaia suborbicularis, Stimpson.
(The genus *Pleuroihhricus of Alphonse Milne-Edwards, founded upon a species, $P$. cristatipes, from Australia (Journ. Mus. Godeffroy, iv. p. 84, pl. xii. fig. 6, 1873), is placed by that distinguished carcinologist among the Oxyostomata; but judging from the description and figure of the third maxillipede, I should certainly suppose its true position to be in this subfamily of the Oxyrhyncha. It is distinguished from Cyclax, Schizophrys, and Temnonotus by the anterior legs, which are short and somewhat enlarged, and by the front, which is quadridentate.)

Subfamily 3. Micippinet. (See Plate XIII. figs. 1, 2, 3.)
Carapace suboblong. Rostrum vertically, or nearly vertically, deflexed, usually broad, lamellate. Anterior legs with the fingers acute at tips. Basal antennal joint very much enlarged. Eye-peduncles very long, geniculated, and laterally projecting.

Notwithstanding the great dissimilarity of Micippa and Picrocerus, which stand at opposite extremes of the series, a regular gradation may be traced between the genera of this group. In Picrocerus the broad and lamellate rostrum of Micippa and Paramicippa is reduced to a single vertically deflexed spine, the pro-ocular spines are enormously developed, and constitute the apparent rostrum ; the anterior part only of the upper wall of the orbit is developed, the posterior part, which is complete in Micippa, being represented only by two or three spines: hence the carapace behind the eyes appears constricted; the basal antennal joint, moreover, is narrower and elongated. Criocarcinus occupies an intermediate position between Micippa and Picrocerus.

## § Orbits very incomplete, the anterior portion partially surrounding

 the eye-peduncle, and usually of the form of a tube open below, the posterior portion often represented only by one or two spines.Criocaícinus, M.-Edwards. (Plate XIII. fig. 3.) Orbits tubular, open below ; præocular spines small. Rostrum lamellate at base, and terminating in two divergent spines. Legs of moderate length. Type Criocarcinus superciliosus (Herbst).

Picrocerds, Alphonse Milne-Edwards. Orbits tubular, open below. Rostrum rudimentary, composed of a single vertically deflesed spine. Præocular spines enormously developed, horizontal, and slightly divergent; constituting the apparent rostrum. Legs long and slender. Type Picrocerus armatus, A. M.Edwards.

Pseudomicippe, Heller. Orbits not tubular, covering the eyepeduncles above, not defined below, with a hiatus above. Rostrum obliquely deflexed, composed of two divergent spines. Preocular spines small. Legs of moderate length. Type Pseudomicippe nodosa, Heller.

Establishing the transition to Tyche and its allies.
§§ Orbits of a narrow oval form, well defined; basal antennal joint much enlarged.
Micippa, Leach. (Plate XILI. figs. 1, 2.) Rostrum nearly vertically deflexed. Anterior legs in male with the palm elongated and rather slender; fingers meeting along their inner edges when closed. Type Micippa cristata (Linn.).

Paramicippa, M.-Edwards. Rostrum less vertically deflexed. Anterior legs in the male with the palm enlarged, shorter, smooth; fingers, when closed, meeting only at the ends. Type Paramicippa platipes (Rüppell).

The eyes are, I believe, retractile in both genera; and the characters derived from the orbital and antennal region are subject to variation.

Family III. PERICERIDA. (Maiens cryptophthalmes, M.-Edwards, part.)
Eyes retractile within the small circular and well-defined orbits, which are never incomplete as in the Maiidx. Basal antennal joint well developed, and constituting the greater portion of the inferior wall of the orbit; this joint is usually very considerably enlarged.

Subfamily l. Pericerine. (See Plate XIII. figs. 4, 5.) (Pericerince, Stimpson.)

Carapace more or less subtriangular in shape. Rostrum well developed. Second joint of antennæ not dilated. Anterior legs with the fingers acite at the tips.

In this subfamily are included those which may be regarded as the typical genera of this family, in which the spines of the rostrum are well developed, and often in contact with one another. The interorbital space is very broad, and the orbits tubular ; the basal joint of the antennæ very much enlarged, the epistoma short, the legs of moderate length, and the fingers acute at tips. One or two of the genera (Scyra, Sphenocarcinus), in which the basal antennal joint is least developed, are related to the Epialtinæ.

## § Rostrum emarginate at apex only.

Libinia, Leach. Carapace orbiculate-triangular, convex, spinose. Præocular spine distinct. Basal joint of antennæ moderately enlarged. Legs of moderate length. Type Libinia emarginata, Leach.

Prionorhynchus, Jacquinot $\&$ Lucas. Carapace subtriangular. Præocular spine absent. Rostrum broad, lamellate, deflexed and emarginate at its distal end. Basal joint of antennæ greatly enlarged. Type Prionorhynchus Edwardsii, Jacq. \& Lucas.

* Basal joint of antenna without a spine at its distal extremity.

Scyra, Dana. Præocular spine present. Spines of rostrum lamellate at base, acute at distal end. Basal joint of antennæ narrow. Anterior legs rather long, palm carinated. Ambulatory legs not compressed. Type Scyra acutifrons, Dana.
*Prria, Dana. Præocular spine absent. Spines of rostrum lamellate. Anterior legs slender. Ambulatory legs much compressed. Type Pyria pubescens, Dana.

Nothing is said respecting the orbits and basal antennal joint; if the orbits are incomplete, this genus would probably be placed near Chionoecetes.

Lissa, Leach. Carapace very convex. Præocular spine present. Spines of rostrum flattened, contiguous, and produced at their extremities into a lateral lobe. Basal joint of antennæ much enlarged. Anterior legs with the palm compressed but not carinated. Ambulatory legs of moderate length. Type Lissa chiragra (Fabr.).
*Rachinia, A. Milne-Edwards. Præocular spine present. Spines. of rostrum slender and divergent. Anterior legs with the palm compressed but not carinated. Ambulatory legs very slender. Type Rachinia gracilipes, A. Milne-Edwards.

The description of this genus is taken from the figure in the 'Mission Scient. Mexique, Crustacés Podophtbalmaires,' pl. xviii. fig. 1. The species is noticed, but not described, in a footnote on p.86. Of this fine work, which contains descriptions and figures of many new genera and species, only a portion, referring to the Crustacea Xiphosura and a part of the Oxyrhyncha, has yet appeared (5me partie, livraisons 1-3. Paris, 4to, $1873 \& 1875$ ).
*Leptopisa, Stimpson. Carapace narrow, with perpendicular sides. Spines of rostrum very slender and contiguous. Anterior legs in the male large; fingers widely gaping. Ambulatory legs long and slender. Type Leptopisa setirostris, Stimpson.
*Sphenocarcinus, A. M.-Edwards. Carapace trianguiar. Rostrum elongated, its spines contiguous to within a very short distance of their extremities, which are acute. Anterior legs small. Ambulatory legs of moderate length. Type Sphenocarcinus corrosus, A. M.-Edwards.

This genus is only known to me from M. A. Milne-Edwards's figure in the ' Mission Scientif. Mexique' (pl. xvii. fig. 5), from which the diagnosis is taken. Its nearest ally among the Pericerinæ seems to be Scyra, Dana. If the orbits are incomplete, it would probably be placed among the Epialtinæ. It agrees, however, with most of the Periceridæ in having a short epistome.

> ** Basal joint of antenna often very much enlarged, with one or more spines at its distal extremity.

## $\dagger$ Carapace narrow and elongated, nearly vertically deflexed in front of gastric region.

Cyphocarcinus, A. M.-Edwards. Spines of rostrum slender and divergent. Spine of basal antennal joint small. Type Cyphocarcinus minutus, A. M.-Edwards.
$\dagger$ Carapace subtriangular, not deflexed in front.

1. Spine at antero-external angle of antennal joint very short, and not visible from above.
Trarinia, Dana. Carapace tuberculated, without a distinct series of lāteral spines. Spines of rostrum very slender and contiguous. Interorbital space broad. Anterior legs in male with the palm short; fingers gaping. Ambulatory legs nodose or spinose. Type Tiarinia cornigera (Latreille).

Tylocarcinus, Miers (rú $\overline{\text { ons, }}$ a knob). Carapace tuberculated, without lateral spines. Spines of rostrum slender, divergent. Interorbital space narrow. Basal antennal joint not much enlarged. Anterior legs in male with the fingers nearly meeting when closed. Ambulatory legs spinose or nodose. Type Tylocarcinus styx (Herbst).

Pericera, Latreille. (Plate XIII. figs. 4, 5.) Carapace with a.series of lateral spines. Spines of rostrum long and divergent. Interorbital space broad. Basal joint of antennæ very much enlarged, with two small distant spines at its distal end. Anterior legs long, palm slender and elongated, fingers not gaping. Ambulatory legs smooth. Type Pericera cornuta, Latreille.
2. Spine at antero-external angle of basal antennal joint very long, and visible from above.
Microphrys, Milne-Edwards (Milnia, Stimpson; Perinea,

Dana; Fisheria, Lockington). Carapace broadly triangular. Spines of rostrum slender and more or less divergent. Branchial spine small. Orbits not tubular. Anterior legs in male enlarged; fingers arcuate, and meeting only at the tips. Antennæ visible from above at sides of rostrum. Type Microphrys bicornuta (Latreille).
(The genus Omalacantha of Hale Streets, of which I have seen no specimen, is very closely allied to this genus, and ought perhaps to be united with it, as M. A. Milne-Edwards has pointed out. It is, however, stated by its author to be sufficiently distinguished by the flattened club-shaped joints of the antennæ; and I prefer, for the present, to regard it as distinct. In the genus Perinea, Dana, which establishes the transition from Microphrys to the next genus, the carapace is convex and the rostrum very short.)

Macroceloma, Miers. Carapace very convex. Branchial spine ivery darge. Spines of rostrum parallel, or nearly so. Orbits tubular and laterally projecting; interorbital space very broad. Anterior legs in male with the palm elongated, and fingers meeting, or nearly meeting, when closed. Type Macrocoloma trispinosa (Latreille).

This genus is proposed for that section of the old genus Pericera in which is included $P$. trispinosa and its allies.
*Anaptychus, Stimpson (Ala, Lockington). Carapace broadly triangulate, with the lateral margins laminated, produced over the bases of the ambulatory legs, and regularly dentated. Spines of rostrum short. Anterior legs in male rather slender; fingers nearly meeting throughout when closed. Type Anaptychus cornutus, Stimpson.

Subfamily 2. Othoniine. (See Plate XIII. fig. 6.) (Othonina, Dana, Stimpson.)
Carapace suboblong; interorbital space very broad. Rostrum almost obsolete. Second joint of antennæ enlarged. Anterior legs with the fingers slightly excavated at the tips.
This subfamily is restricted to the single genus
Othonis, Bell (Pitho, Bell). (Plate XIII. fig. 6.) Carapace with the margins regularly dentated. Type Othonia sexdentata, Bell.

Subfamily 3. Mithracine. (See Plate XIII. figs. 7, 8.) (Mithracince, Stimpson.)
Carapace broadly triangular, sometimes transverse, with the sides slightly arcuate; interorbital space narrow. Rostrum short or obsolete. Second joint of antennæ not dilated. Anterior legs with the fingers excavated at the tips.
In this subfamily are included those Periceridæ which most nearly approach the Cancroids of the subfamily Chlorodinæ in the form of the carapace, the obsolescence of the rostral spines, the small and completely defined orbits (which do not project laterally as in the Pericerinæ), the extremely short epistoma, and the form and development of the anterior and ambulatory legs. Mithraculus, which stands at the end of the series, is indeed hardly to be distinguished in external form from the Cancroid Phymodius or Chlorodius, from which, however, it is in reality separated by the position and great development of the basal antennal joint. Nemausa, on the other hand, marks the transition to the Maiidæ.

Nematsa, Alphonse Milne-Edwards. Carapace longer than broad; spines of rostrum well developed. Basal joint of antennæ with a long spine at its distal end. Anterior legs in male not much enlarged; palm slender. Ambulatory legs not compressed. Type Nemausa spinipes (Bell).

This genus presents affinities with Mierophrys, and also with Herbstia and Schizophrys among the Maiidæ.

Parathoë, Miers. Carapace triangular, rounded behind. Front very small and narrow, truncated or notched. Basal antemal joint narrow as in Scyra, longer than broad, and without any spine at its distal end. Anterior legs with the palm dilated, and fingers arcuate, meeting at the tips. Ambulatory legs not dilated, and compressed. Type Parathoë rotundata, Miers.

Its habitats (the Gulf of Suez and Fiji Islands) are of great interest, as the subfamily is almost exclusively American. The examples before me have nearly the aspect of Thoë; hence the generic name.
(The genus Paramaya of De Haan was founded upon a species which he subsequently referred (rightly I believe) to Maia. The name may, however, have to be retained for the Paramaya Dehaani of White, referred to in his 'List of Crustacea in the British Museum,' p. 7 (1847). This species is founded upon a single female specimen, bleached and probably immature, which closely resembles Mithrax, but differs from the species of that genus in
there being no tubercles or spines on the lateral margins of the carapace and very obscurely marked tubercles on the branchial regions. The orbital margins, also, are smooth. The much dilated basal antennal joint has a single spine at its extero-distal angle; the legs are smooth.)

Тпоё, Bell (Platypes, Lockington). Carapace triangular, narrowed anteriorly. Rostrum very short, its spines reduced to tubercles. Basal antennal joint with a very short spine at its distal end. Anterior legs in male enlarged, palms short, dilated, fingers meeting only at tips. Ambulatory legs compressed and dilated. Type Thoë erosa, Bell.

Mithrax, Leach. (Plate XIII. figs. 7, 8.) Carapace broadly triangular, usually transverse. Spines of rostrum short or obsolete. Basal antennal joint dilated, with short spines at its distal end. Anterior legs in male usually enlarged. Ambulatory legs not dilated and compressed.

Subgenus Mithrax, Leaeh (Teleophrys, Stimpson). Carapace with the branchial regions not sulcated, sides usually spinose.

Subgenus Minfraculus, White. Carapace depressed, with shallow smooth interspaces or sulci between the tubercles on the branchial regions, antero-lateral margins tuberculate (anterior legs greatly enlarged). Type Mithraculus soulptus (Lamarck).

Scarcely distinct even as a subgenus from Mithrax, although of very different external aspect ; the characters of the orbital and antenaal region are not constant. Teleophrys of Stimpson marks the transition from Mithrax to Mithraculus.

Family IV. PARTHENOPIDEA. (Parthénopiens and Cancériens cryptopodes, M.-Edwards).
Eyes usually retractile within the small circular. and well-defined orbits; the inferior wall of the orbit is continued to within a very short distance of the front. The autenne are very slender, the basal joint does not, as in the Periceridæ, constitute a great part of the interior orbital margin, but is very small, and usually does not reach to the front, and with the next joint occupies the narrow hiatus intervening between the front aud inner orbital angle.
The structural relationship of this family with the Oxystomata, best evidenced in the genus Mesorhoca, has been already adverted to ; and there are resemblances also in external characters, such as the lateral extension of the carapace over the ambulatory legs
in Cryptopodia, and the form of the anterior legs in certain forms (e. g. Ethra), in which they are capable of being closely applied to the body. On the other hand, the structure of the orbits and position of the basilar portion of the antennæ very nearly resembles that of certain Cancroid genera, as Pilumnus and Trapezia. The Parthenopidæ are related, as already stated, with the typical Oxyrhyncha through Inachoides and Inachus.

Subfamily 1. Parthenopine. (See Plate XIII. figs. 9, 10, 11.)
Carapace equilaterally or transversely triangular or elliptical. Rostrum simple. A strongly marked depression separating the branchial from the cardiac and gastric regions. Anterior legs greatly developed, with the palm trigonous, fingers acute.

## § Carapace not laterally expanded.

Lambrus, Leach. (Plate XIJI. fig. 9.) Carapace equilaterally triangular, with the regions convex, tuberculate. Basal antennal joint short and not reaching to the front, the inner orbital hiatus usually occupied by the second joint, which is longer than or as long as the first. Anterior legs with a tuberculated or spinose crest along the upper margin of the palm. Anterior legs usually very long. Ambulatory legs very short, smooth* or minutely spinose. Type Lambrus longimanus (Linn.).

Parthenofe, Fabricius. Carapace equilaterally triangular. Basal antennal joint longer than the second, but not reaching the inner orbital hiatus. Anterior legs rather compressed, tuberculated, but not cristated. Ambulatory legs longer, with long spines. Type Parthenope horrida (Linn.).

Scarcely distinct as a genus; but it appears better to retain it as a designation for the single species $P$. horrida, than to unite it with Lambrus, when the rule of priority would compel the adoption of the generic term Parthenope for all the numerous species of the former genus, which have been universally designated as species of Lambrus.

* Solenolambrus, Stimpson. Carapace pentagonal, smooth, convex, with the margins acute. Rostrum short and blunt, or faintly tridentate. Basal joint of antennæ about as long as the second. Pterygostomian regions ridged as in Heterocrypta. Anterior legs nearly as in Lambrus. Ambulatory legs slender, compressed. Type Solenolambrus typicus, Stimpson.
* Mesoriea, Stimpson. Resembles Solenolambrus in the carapace, legs, pterygostomian and hepatic channels; but the efferent branchial channels meet in the middle of the endostome or buccal carity, which has a triangular projection and a deep notch in its vertical laminiform wall. The third joint of the outer maxillipedes is produced forward at its internal angle and conceals the palpus. Type Mesorhoca sexspinosa, Stimpson.

This remarkable genus indicates an approach on the part of the Maioidea to the Oxystomatous crabs, as has already been stated.

## §§ Carapace more or less laterally expanded.

Crpptopodia, Milne-Edwards. Carapace transversely triangular, with the lateral margins greatly produced, and concealing the ambulatory legs, the posterior margin also prolonged backward. Front very prominent. No ridges on the pterygostomian regions. Type Cryptopodia fornicata (Fabr.).

Heterocrypta, Stimpson. Carapace as in Cryptopodia, but without the posterior expansion. A strongly-marked ridge on the pterygostomian region, defining the afferent channel. Type Heteroorypta granulata (Gibbes).
(Ethra, Leach. (Plate XIII. fig. 10.) Carapace transversely oval or elliptical, with the lateral margins produced as in Cryptopodia, and dentated. No posterior expansion. No ridge on the pterygostomian region. Type EEthra scruposa (Linn.).

This genus, although somewhat resembling Cancer and Etisus in external form, is much more nearly allied to Cryptopodia in the characters of the cephalothorax and antennæ, and must be arranged with that genus, as has been done by Stimpson and S. I. Smith.
(The genus Eurynolambrus, which is placed by MM. MilneEdwards and Lucas and by Dana with the Parthenopidæ, and which in the triangular and expanded carapace has certainly much resemblance to Cryptopodia, has really.far more affinity with Cancer in the form of the orbital and antennal region. The basal joint of the antenno, as in that genus, is much enlarged, and excludes the flagellum from the orbital hiatus. The front is bilobate ; and the anterior legs have not the trigonous form characteristic of Cryptopodia and its allies. The lateral expansions of the carapace are far smaller, and do not conceal the ambulatory
legs. I propose, then, to refer this genus to the Cancerinæ, where it marks the transition of that group to the Parthenopidæ.

The genus Telmessus of White, which was originally placed by its author among the Plagusiinx and subsequently assigned to the Maiidæ (Voy. Samarang, Crust. p. 14) has externally some resemblance to Anaptychus. In the characters of the orbital and antennal regions, however, it has more affinity with the Cancroid than the Maioid crabs ; and its most natural position is perbaps among the Corystoidea, where Dana placed it.)

## Subfamily 2. Eumedonina.

Carapace usually rhomboidal or subpentagonal, with a spine at the junction of the antero-lateral and postero-lateral angles. Rostrum usually bifid or emarginate. Depressions separating the regions of the carapace obscure or non-existent. Anterior legs of moderate length, not trigonous.
§ Carapace flat and smooth above. Ambulatory legs with all the. joints greatly dilated and compressed.

Zebrida, White. Spines of rostrum and lateral spines of carapace large, laminate. Basal antennal joint large and filling the orbital hiatus. Type Zebrida Adamsii, White.
§§ Carapace uneven or convex. Ambulatory legs slender.
*Eunedonus, Milne-Edwards. Carapace depressed. Rostrum long and emarginate at its extremity, where the spines are divergent. Anterior legs rather large. Ambulatory legs slightly compressed, with the third joint somewhat cristated. Type Eumedonus niger, Milne-Edwards.

Gonatonotus, Adams and White. Carapace uneven above. Rostrum broad, lamellate, rounded, and very slightly emarginate or entire at its distal extremity. Anterior legs of moderate size. Ambulatory legs slender, not dilated and compressed. Type Gonatonotus pentagonus, Adams and White.

Ceratocarcinus, Adams and White. Carapace tuberculated above. Rostrum composed of two slender widely separated spines. Anterior legs of moderate size. Ambulatory legs slender. Type Ceratocarcinus longinanus, Adams and White.

In this remarkable genus, the inner orbital hiatus is completely
closed and the slender antenno consequently excluded from the orbit.
Harrovia, Adams $\oint$ White. (Plate XIII. fig. 11.) Carapace slightly tuberculate, lateral spine small. Front broad, truncated, and divided by three fissures into four lobes, of which the median are truncated and the lateral acute. Anterior legs elongated, ambulatory legs slender. Type Harrovia albo-lineata, Adams and White.
This genus was wrongly placed by Adams and White with the Leucosiidæ. By Stimpson it was considered synonymous with Ceratocarcinus ; but it is as distinct as any other of the group. With the preceding, it appears to constitute almost a connecting link between the Parthenopinæ and the Eriphiidæ (Trapezia). As the specimens are dried and almost unique, $I$ have not been able to examine the buccal cavity; but in the allied genus Gonatonotus there are clearly-marked longitudinal ridges on the endostome, as in the Eriphiidæ.

## Appendix.

*Goniothorax, $A$. M.-Edwards. This genus, which has been described since my paper was read, is allied, according to its author, to Epialtus and Acanthonyx, but differs in the length of the non-prehensile ambulatory legs and in the structure of the antennal region. The antennæ define the orbits below; their basal joint is small, not reaching to the summit of the antennulary fossæ ; the two following joints are nearly as thick as the first, the third being remarkably long. Type Goniothorax ruber, A. M.-Edwards.
*Lepidonaxta, Targioni-Tozetti. I have not been able to consult the author's recently published 'Report on the Brachyurous and Anomourous Crustacea collected during the voyage of the corvette 'Magenta;" but, to judge from the description of this genus, quoted by V. Martens in the 'Zoological Record ' for 1877, it would seem to be allied to Hyastenus, differing, however, in the form of the basal antennal joint, which is dilated and unarmed externally, unidentate posteriorly and in the middle. Type Lepidonaxia Defilippii, Targ.-Tozetti.

Platylambrts, Stimpson. This generic name was suggested by Stimpson in case it should be found practicable to separate from Lambrus the L. crenulatus, Saussure, and such allied species ILINN. JOURN.-ZOOLOGY, YOL. XIV.
as are characterized by a depressed carapace and excarated pterygostomian and subhepatic regions, this excavation forming, when the chelipedes are retracted, passages to the efferent brauchial apertures.

This excavation, however, varies not only in direction and depth, but is traceable in species not otherwise very nearly allied; and I would suggest the following as more convenient subgeneric divisions:-

Subgenus 1. Lambrus. Carapace subrhomboidal, not produced at its postero-lateral angles over the bases of the ambulatory legs. Anterior legs greatly elongated and spinose (usually more than three times as long as the carapace). Type Lambrus crenulatus, Sauss.

Subgenus 2. Parthenopoides, Miers. Carapace subtriangular with the posterior margin nearly straight, and produced at the postero-lateral angles over the bases of the ambulatory legs. Anterior legs rarely spinose and of moderate length (rarely exceeding $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times the length of the carapace). Type Parthenopoides massena (Roux).
This subgenus includes those Lambri which approach Parthenope in the lesser development of the anterior legs, and includes several species which have been described as members of that genus.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE PLATES.

Plate XII.
Fig. 1. Stenorhynchus rostratus (Linn.). Front of the cephalothorax, dorsal view, showing the laterally projecting eyes and the absence of orbits; $\times$ nearly 3 diameters.
2. Inferior view of the same, showing the slender basal antennal joint and large epistome; $\times$ nearly 3 diam.
3. Oregonia hirta, Dana. Front of the cephalothorax, showing the projecting rim which covers the base of the ocular peduncle and the prominent postocular spine ; $\times 3$ diam.
4. Inferior view of the same, showing the form of the narrow basal antennal joint and of the epistome; $\times 3$ diam.
5. Xenocarcinus tuberculatus, White. Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the immobile eyes and the form of the basal antennal joint; $\times 3$ diam.
6. Acanthonyx lunulatus, Risso. Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the form of the basal antennal joint and the partial concealment of the eyes beneath the prominent preocular spine; $\times 3$ diam.
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Fig, 7. Maia squinado, Linn. Dorsal view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the form of the upper orbital wall, which is formed of long spines, beneath which the long and slender eye-peduncles are retractile ; natural size.
8. Inferior view of the same, showing the form of the inferior margin of the orbit and of the enlarged basal antennal joint ; natural size.
9. Pisa (Arctopsis) lanata, Lamk. Dorsal view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the narrow hiatus in the upper orbital margin and the short eye-peduncle ; $\times 2$ diam.
10. Inferior view of the same, showing the hiatus in the lower orbital margin and the basal antennal joint; $\times 2$ diam.

## Plate XIII.

Fig. 1. Micippa cristata, Leach. Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the deflexed rostrum, greatly dilated basal antennal joint, and nearly complete orbits; natural size.
2. Lateral view of the orbit and deflexed rostrum ; natural size.
3. Criocarcinus superciliosus (Herbst). Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, wherein the posterior part of the orbit is represented only by the postocular spine, and the anterior part surrounds the laterally projecting eye-peduncle in the form of a tube open below; natural size.
4. Pericera cornuta, Latreille. Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the short epistome and greatly-developed basal antennal joint; natural size.
5. Lateral view of the orbital cavity (the eye-peduncle being removed) showing its circular form and complete margin ; natural size.
6. Othonia aculeata (Gibbes). Inferior view of front of cephalothorax, showing the extreme development of the basal antennal joint (which has coalesced with the surrounding parts), the dilated second antennal joint, and obsolescent rostrum ; $\times 1 \frac{1}{2}$ diam.
7. Mithrax hispidus, Herbst. Dorsal view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the form of the rostrum and orbits; natural size.
8. Inferior view of the same, showing the form of the epistom and basal antennal joint; natural size.
9. Lambrus macrochelos, Herbst. Inferior view of the front of the cephalothorax, showing the small antennæ inserted in the biatus between the inner orbital angle and the front; $\times 2$ diam.
10. $\boldsymbol{E}$ thra scruposa (Linn.). Similar view of the front of the cephalothorax; natural size.
11. Harrovia albolineata, Ad. \& White. Similar view of the front of the cephalothorax ; $\times 3$ diam.


[^0]:    * Monatsber. Akad. Wissenseh. Berlin, pp. 713 and 1004 (1861).
    $\dagger$ Amer. Journ. Sci. and Arts, vol. xxv. p. 139 (1863).
    $\ddagger$ Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, vol. i. p. 272 (1834).

[^1]:    * Crustacea of the 'Fauna Japonica' of V. Siebold, p. 77 (1859).
    $\dagger$ Amer. Journ. of Sci. and Arts (ser. 2), xi. p. 425 (1851), and U.S. Explor. Exped. xiii. Crust. i. p. 77 (1852).

[^2]:    * I use this term, in the sense commonly emphoyed by authors, for the large joint which is apparently the first of the basal portion of the antennæ, but is in reality the second joint ("basiccrite").

[^3]:    * Dana, for example, separated the Cancroidea into two parallel groups (Cancridæ and Eriphiidæ), characterized respectively by the presence or absence of a ridge on the endostome defining the efferent branchial channel; but in Xanthodius, a genus since described by Stimpson, this ridge is rudimentary, and this genus may be referred either to the vicinity of Chlorodius in the former, or Ozius in the latter group. Similar intermediate forms occur between Actaa and Actrodes, genera belonging respectively to the parallel series Xanthinæ and Chlorodiine in the family Cancridæ.

[^4]:    * I have not had an opportunity of consulting Dr. Schramm's work, 'Crus* tacés de la Guadeloupe d'après un manuscrit du docteur Desbonne, Ire partie, Brachyura. Basse-Terre, 8vo, 1867." In referring to it I have followed M. A. Milne-Edwards's citations.

